

# Revolving door ordering

## Contents

<b>1 Minimal Change Ordering for subsets</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Subsets of an $n$ -set	1
1.2 Generating $k$ -subsets	1
1.3 Proofs	2

## 1 Minimal Change Ordering for subsets

### 1.1 Subsets of an $n$ -set

Fix  $n$ , and consider the class of subsets of an  $n$ -set. We want to generate all elements of this class with a minimum change. For example, between consecutive elements in a listing, we perhaps there is a difference of a single element.

We have already explored binary strings, and we have also already explored the connection between generating binary strings and generating a subset. A Gray code for binary strings implicitly describes a minimal change exhaustive generation scheme for the set of subsets of an  $n$ -set.

Well, that was easy! Now let us make it harder.

### 1.2 Generating $k$ -subsets

Let us consider a restriction: all possible  $\binom{n}{k}$   $k$ -subsets of an  $n$ -set. We can formulate this in terms of binary strings: the difference between two binary strings must be at least two, and ideally we would like to describe a scheme in which the difference is exactly 2 bits.

First, we describe a natural minimal change order which is analogous to RBC  $R(n)$ . Let us use some information that we have at our disposal:

$$\binom{n}{0} = \binom{n}{n} = 1 \quad \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}, 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

This identity actually suggests a minimal change order for  $k$ -subsets, defined in a recursive way. RBC can be viewed as an interpretation of the identity

$$2^n = 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1},$$

and we let this guide us. The number of empty subsets of an  $n$ -set is one, the empty set and this is represented

$$R_0(n) = \underbrace{[00 \dots 0]}_k.$$

Likewise,

$$R_n(n) = \underbrace{[11 \dots 1]}_k.$$

Now, assume we have a minimal change sequence  $R_k(n-1)$  for all  $k$ . Then

$$R_k(n) = 0 \cdot \{R_k(n-1)\}, 1 \cdot \{R_{k-1}(n-1)^R\}$$

where  $R$  means to reverse the order of the sequence.

If we interpret the binary strings occurring in  $R_k(n)$  as  $k$ -subsets with the first bit representing the appearance or nonappearance of  $n$ , the second bit  $n-1$  and so on, then we can rephrase this definition as follows

$$R_k(n) = [R_k(n-1), \{n\} \cup R_{k-1}(n-1)^R]$$

For example,

$$R_1(2) = [0 R_1(1), 1 R_0(1)^R] = [0 1, 1 0].$$

A more complicated example. We represent it as a matrix:

$$R_2(4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

### 1.3 Proofs

We want to prove that  $R_k(n)$  defines a cyclic minimal change ordering of all  $k$ -subsets of  $[n]$ . This order is called the **Revolving door order**. First we need a proposition to help us understand the beginning and the end of the order

**Proposition.** For  $k > 0$ , the first row of  $R_k(n)$  is  $[0 \cdots 0 1 \cdots 1]$  and the last row of  $R_k(n)$  is  $[1 0 \cdots 0 1 \cdots 1]$ .

*Proof.* By induction on  $n$ . The base case is  $n = 1$ , hence  $k = 1$  which we can observe directly is true.

Assume the result holds for  $n = m \geq 1$ , and for any  $0 \leq k \leq m$ . Now consider  $n = m + 1$ .

If  $k = n$  then the result can again be observed directly. Assume  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . Then the first row of  $R_k(n)$  is the first row of  $R_k(n - 1)$  with an extra 0 at the beginning which by induction is as it should be. The last row of  $R_k(n)$  is the first row of  $R_{k-1}(n - 1)$  with an extra 1 at the beginning, which by induction is as it should be.  $\square$

**Theorem.** The rows of  $R_k(n)$  represent a cyclic minimal change ordering of all  $k$ -subsets of  $[n]$ .

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $n$ . Again  $n = 1$  gives  $k = 1$  and so can be observed directly.

Assume the result holds for  $n = m \geq 1$ , and for any  $0 \leq k \leq m$ . Now consider  $n = m + 1$ .

If  $k = n$  or  $k = 0$  then the result can again be observed directly. Assume  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ .

Consider the distance between adjacent rows of  $R_k(n)$ . The adjacent differences among the first  $\binom{n-1}{k}$  rows are the same as the adjacent differences between the rows of  $R_k(n - 1)$  and so by induction are all 2. Likewise the adjacent differences among the last  $n - 1 - k - 1$  rows are the same as the adjacent differences between the rows of  $R_{k-1}(n - 1)$  and so by induction are all 2. It remains to check the difference between the  $\binom{n-1}{k}$ th row and its successor, and between the first and last rows.

The  $\binom{n-1}{k}$ th row of  $R_k(n)$  is the  $\binom{n-1}{k}$ th row of  $R_k(n - 1)$  with an extra 0 at the beginning. The next row of  $R_k(n)$  is the  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ th row of  $R_{k-1}(n - 1)$  with an extra 1 at the beginning. By the proposition these two rows of  $R_k(n)$  are the following two rows

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which are distance 2 apart.

The first row of  $R_k(n)$  is the first row of  $R_k(n - 1)$  with an extra 0 at the beginning. The last row of  $R_k(n)$  is the first row of  $R_{k-1}(n - 1)$  with an extra 1 at the beginning. By the previous proposition these rows are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which are distance 2 apart. This completes the proof.  $\square$

This generation scheme has a major drawback— To compute  $R_k(n)$  you need to compute  $R_{k-1}(n - 1)$ ,  $R_k(n - 1)$  and thus also  $R_{k-2}(n - 2)$ ,  $R_{k-2}(n - 1)$ ,  $R_{k-1}(n - 2)$ ,  $R_k(n - 1) \dots$  which will either represent a lot of repeated calculation, or a lot of storage.

Next time we'll look at a successor function for this ordering, so that we never need to generate it by the definition.