

## ① Review of well orders

Recall that if  $X$  with  $\leq$  is a partially ordered set  
and

④ for all  $a, b \in X$   $a \leq b$  or  $b \leq a$

⑤ for any <sup>nonempty</sup>  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $Y$  has a least element.  
ie. there is an  $a \in Y$  such that  $a \leq x$  for all  $x \in Y$ .

Then we say  $\leq$  is a **well order** and we  
say  $X$  is **well ordered** by  $\leq$

Note

④ isn't needed because ⑤ implies ④

take  $a, b \in X$  let  $Y = \{a, b\}$

Then by ⑤  $Y$  has a least element

if the least element is  $a$  then  $a \leq b$

if the least element is  $b$  then  $b \leq a$

so you get either  $a \leq b$  or  $b \leq a$ .

Note if  $X$  with  $\leq$  is a partial order and satisfies ④  
then  $\leq$  is a **total order** on  $X$

We saw that  $\omega$  is well ordered by the usual  $\leq$

eg Consider  $\omega \times \omega$

What ordering shall we use?

(a) say  $(a, b) \leq (c, d)$  if  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$

eg  $(1, 256) \leq (3, 8000000)$

$(1, 256) \not\leq (3, 4)$

Is  $\omega \times \omega$  well ordered?

No. eg  $\{(1, 256), (3, 4)\}$   
has no least element  
since  $(1, 256) \not\leq (3, 4)$   
and  $(3, 4) \not\leq (1, 256)$

(b) say  $(a, b) \leq (c, d)$  if  $a \leq c$   
or  $(a = c \text{ and } b \leq d)$

This is called **lexicographic order** because it is how we put things in alphabetical order.

Is  $\omega \times \omega$  well ordered?

Yes!

let  $Y \subseteq \omega \times \omega$

let  $Z \subseteq Y$  be the set of

$(a, b) \in Y$  where  $a$  is  
smallest among all  
first coordinates of elements  
of  $Y$

so  $Z = \{(a, b), (a, b_1), (a, b_2), \dots\}$

since  $\omega$  is well ordered the

first coordinates of elements of  $Y$   
are well ordered so such  
an  $a$  exists.

Furthermore  $Z$  has a least element because all elements of  $Z$  have the same first coordinate and the second coordinates are well ordered.

Let  $(a, b)$  be the least element of  $Z$ . All elements of  $Y$  are at least as big so  $(a, b)$  is the least element of  $Y$ .

## ② Transfinite induction

Well ordered sets have the nice property that we have a notion of induction. First we need the following definition

**Definition** let  $X$  with  $\leq$  be a partially ordered set.

Take  $a \in X$ . then set

$$s(a) = \{x \in X : x \leq a\}$$

is called the **initial segment** of  $a$

eg  $X = \omega$      $a = 12$     ,     $s(a) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 11\} = 12$  as it turns out

eg  $E = \{a, b, c\}$  ,  $X = \mathcal{P}(E)$  ordered by  $\subseteq$  ,  
 $s(\{a, b\}) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$

Let  $X$  be a well ordered set and let  $S \subseteq X$

if for any  $x \in X$  it is the case that

$s(x) \in S$  implies  $x \in S$

Then  $S = X$

This is called the **principle of transfinite induction**

First lets see that this is true and then see what we can do with it

To check the fact: suppose  $S$  has the property but  $S \neq X$ .

then  $X - S$  is nonempty so since  $X$  is well ordered  $X - S$  has a least element, call it  $a$ .

Consider  $s(a)$  every  $x \in s(a)$  has  $x < a$  so by minimality of  $a$ ,  $x \in S$  for all  $x \in s(a)$ . Thus  $s(a) \subseteq S$ , so  $a \in S$ , contradicts  $a \in X - S$

so  $X - S$  can't be nonempty

so  $X = S$

How does this relate to the principle of mathematical induction which we have already seen?

let  $X = \omega$

First

Take  $0 \in \omega$

$$s(0) = \emptyset$$

so transfinite induction says  
 $s(0) \in S$  implies  $0 \in S$

but  $\emptyset \in S$  for any  $S$

so if the transfinite induction property holds for  $S \subseteq \omega$  then

Next note for  $X = \omega$  transfinite induction ~~property~~ automatically  $0 \in S$

becomes **strong induction**, that is to conclude  $x \in S$  you need the entire initial segment inside  $S$ . I.e. your property needs to hold for all  $a < x$ .

On the other hand the principle of mathematical induction is **weak induction**, that is, to conclude

$x \in S$  you only need  $(x-1) \in S$

For  $\omega$  strong and weak induction are equally powerful, they can prove the same statements.

But for other well ordered sets transfinite induction is necessary

eg let  $X = \omega^+ = \omega \cup \{\omega\} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega\}$

use  $\leq$  given by, for  $m, n \in \omega$

let  $m \leq n$  in  $\omega^+$  if  $m \leq n$  in  $\omega$   
and vice versa

for  $m \in \omega$ , let  $m \leq \omega$ .

This is a well order.

Suppose we try to use the old principle of mathematical induction on  $X$ . What goes wrong?

For the break

Can you find an  $S \subsetneq \omega^+$

with  $0 \in S$  and for all  $n \in S$ ,  $n^+ \in S$ ?



answer  $\omega$  The usual principle of mathematical induction is not strong enough to distinguish  $\omega$  from  $\omega + 1$

Transfinite induction fixes this problem

$$s(x) \subseteq S \Rightarrow x \in S$$

for  $\omega$ : what is  $s(\omega)$  in  $\omega + 1$   
"  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

so  $s(\omega) = \omega$  so certainly  $s(\omega) \subseteq \omega$

but  $\omega \not\subseteq \omega$

and so transfinite induction

distinguishes  $\omega$  from  $\omega + 1$

One more note: transfinite induction also allows us to take base cases other than 0 without reindexing

How? just use the well ordered set  $\{1, 2, \dots\}$  (or  $\{5, 6, \dots\}$  or wherever you want).

### ③ Ordinals

We had

$$0, 0^+ = 1, 1^+ = 2, 2^+ = 3, 4, 5, \dots$$

all together we have

$$\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\} = \omega$$

Now consider

$\omega$ ,  $\omega^+$ ,  $(\omega^+)^+$ ,  $((\omega^+)^+)^+$ ,  $((((\omega^+)^+)^+)^+)^+$ ,  $\dots$

what's next? Idea what is the next number after this, in the same way  $\omega$  was after all natural numbers.

It should be  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega^+, (\omega^+)^+, \dots\}$  but is this a set?

Suppose  $f$  is a function with domain  $\text{new}$

Say  $f$  is an  $\omega$ -successor function

if  $f(0) = \omega$

$\omega \quad f(m^+) = (f(m))^+$

eg  $n=3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$

$f(0) = \omega$

$f(1) = \omega^+$

$f(2) = (\omega^+)^+$

$f(1) = f(0^+) = (f(0))^+ = \omega^+$

then  $f$  is an  $\omega$ -successor function.

In fact for each  $n$  there is a unique  $\omega$ -successor function. Intuition we never had a chance to make a choice in defining  $f$ , so it must have been unique.

Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  were both  $\omega$ -successor functions with domain  $n$

- $f(0) = \omega = g(0)$  so they agree on 0
- let  $i \in n$  be the smallest number for which  $f(i) \neq g(i)$ .  
using the well ordering of  $\omega$  also need it to start at 0
- $i \neq 0$  so  $i = j^+$  for some  $j \in \omega$  ( $j = i-1$ )  
but  $i$  was the smallest number where they disagreed so  $f(j) = g(j)$   
so  $f(i) = f(j^+) = f(j)^+ = g(j)^+ = g(j^+) = g(i)$   
contradicting  $f(i) \neq g(i)$ .

Thus  $f$  is unique.

What we want is to join all these things together

ie show  $\{0, 1, \dots, \omega, \omega^+, (\omega^+)^+, \dots\}$  is a set

let  $S(n, x)$  be the property

" $n \in \omega$  and  $x$  is in the range of an  $\omega$ -successor function with domain  $n$ "

in logic it can be done

but lets not bother

7 vs 2

The set we are looking for is

$$\{x : \exists n (n \in W \wedge S(n, x))\}$$

We only know this is a set by the axiom of replacement

Intuitively  $S$  is acting like a function

it takes  $n$  to the range of the unique  $w$ -successor function defined on  $n$

Call this function  $F(n) = \{x : S(n, x)\}$

We want to know

- either (a)  $F$  is a function in the set theoretic sense (i.e. can write the set of ordered pairs defining  $F$ )  
or (b) The image of any  $X \subseteq W$  under  $F$  is a set.

These are equivalent. If  $F$  is a set theoretic function then its range is a set and can pull out ranges of subsets by the axiom of subset selection

If the images are sets, then the range of  $F$ ,  $Y$  is a set and so have  $\omega \times Y$  and can pull out  $F$  using the axiom of subset selection

(b) would come from Cohen's version of the axiom of replacement

(a) is Halmos' version which he calls the axiom of substitution

### Axiom of Substitution

If  $S(a, b)$  is a sentence such that for each  $a \in A$  the set  $\{b : S(a, b)\}$  can be formed, then there exists a function  $F$  with domain  $A$  such that  $F(a) = \{b : S(a, b)\}$  for each  $a \in A$

Our use of the axiom of substitution will be, as above to extend our ability to count beyond  $\omega, \omega_1, \dots$

So we have

$0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$\omega, \omega^+, (\omega^+)^+, ((\omega^+)^+)^+, \dots$  (\*)

and by the above we can define a set theoretic function

$F$  with domain  $\omega$  such that

$$F(0) = \omega, \quad F(n^+) = (F(n))^+$$

let  $X$  be the range of  $F$  then  $X = \{\omega, \omega^+, (\omega^+)^+, \dots\}$

Then the next number after the ones in (\*) is

$$X \cup \omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega^+, (\omega^+)^+, \dots\}$$

So this really is a set

Note we went to all that work just to show  $X \cup \omega$  is a set



What are these new bigger counting "numbers"  
ordinals

**Definition** An ordinal is a well ordered set  $S$   
such that for all  $x \in S$   $s(x) = x$

eg lets check 3 is an ordinal

$3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$  ordered in the usual way  $0 \leq 1 \leq 2$

$$s(0) = \emptyset = 0$$

$$s(1) = \{0\} = 1$$

$$s(2) = \{0, 1\} = 2$$

Likewise every natural number is an ordinal.

eg check  $\omega$  is an ordinal.

Two useful facts

① If  $X$  is an ordinal then  $X^+$  is an ordinal

proof Use the order on  $X^+$  given by

This is a well order as

Finally we can check the ordinal property.

② Let  $X$  be a set. There is at most one well order which makes  $X$  into an ordinal

proof Suppose there is a well order which makes  $X$  into an ordinal. Take any other well order of  $X$

④ Next time

More on ordinals

please read Halmos section 20