Bicircular Matroids are 3-colorable

Luis Goddyn

Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby BC $V5A\ 1S6,\ Canada$

Winfried Hochstättler

FernUniversität in Hagen, Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik, 58084 Hagen

Nancy Ann Neudauer

Nancy Ann Neudauer, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Pacific University, Forest Grove, OR 97116, USA

Abstract

Hugo Hadwiger proved that a graph that is not 3-colorable must have a K_4 -minor and conjectured that a graph that is not k-colorable must have a K_{k+1} -minor. By using the Hochstättler-Nešetřil definition for the chromatic number of an oriented matroid, we formulate a generalized version of Hadwiger's conjecture that might hold for the class of oriented matroids. In particular, it is possible that every oriented matroid with no $M(K_4)$ -minor is 3-colorable.

The fact that K_4 -minor-free graphs are characterized as series-parallel networks leads to an easy proof that they are all 3-colorable. We show how to extend this argument to a particular subclass of $M(K_4)$ -minor-free oriented matroids. Specifically we generalize the notion of being series-parallel to oriented matroids, and then show that generalized series-parallel oriented matroids are 3-colorable. To illustrate the method, we show that every orientation of a bicircular matroid is 3-colorable.

Keywords: colorings, series-parallel networks, matroids, oriented matroids $2000\ MSC:\ 05C15,\ 05B35,\ 52C40$

1. Introduction

Hadwiger's conjecture [13], that every graph that is not k-colorable must have a K_{k+1} -minor for $k \geq 1$, is "one of the deepest unsolved problems in graph theory" [5]. While the first non-trivial case, when k = 3, was proved by Hadwiger, he pointed out that Klaus Wagner had shown that k = 4 is equivalent to the Four Color Theorem [26, 2, 21]. Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [22]

^{*}Corresponding author

reduced the case k=5 to the Four Color Theorem. The conjecture remains open for $k \geq 6$.

Recently, Goddyn and Hochstättler [11] observed that a proper generalization of Hadwiger's conjecture to regular matroids includes Tutte's k-flow conjectures for the cases $k \in \{4,5\}$ [23, 24, 25]. Let N be a matroid. We say that an oriented matroid \mathcal{O} is N-free if no minor of its underlying matroid \mathcal{O} is isomorphic to N. The extension of Hadwiger's conjecture in [11] asserts that every regular matroid \mathcal{O} that is $M(K_{k+1})$ -free either is k-colorable or k=4 with \mathcal{O} having the cographic matroid of the Petersen graph as a minor. The case k=3 remains the only non-trivial settled case.

Hochstättler and Nešetřil [14] generalized the theory of nowhere-zero flows to the class of oriented matroids. Hochstättler and Nickel [15, 16] extended this work and defined the chromatic number of an oriented matroid. They also showed that the chromatic number of a simple oriented matroid of rank r is at most r+1, with equality if and only if the matroid is an orientation of $M(K_{r+1})$. This suggests that, in some sense, complete graphs are necessary to construct oriented matroids with large chromatic number. With their definition of chromatic number, Hadwiger's conjecture may, to our knowledge, hold true for the class of oriented matroids.

QUESTION 1. Is every oriented matroid \mathcal{O} that is $M(K_{k+1})$ -free either k-colorable or k=4 with \mathcal{O} having the cographic matroid of the Petersen graph as a minor?

But, in this setting, even the case k=3 remains open: Are $M(K_4)$ -free oriented matroids always 3-colorable?

Jim Geelen asked [8] for some characterization of matroids without an $M(K_4)$ -minor. Here we define the class of generalized series-parallel oriented matroids (Definition 3), which might provide some progress towards an answer to Geelen's question in the oriented case. While it is clear by definition that every generalized series-parallel matroid is $M(K_4)$ -free, the converse statement, if true, would verify Hadwiger's conjecture for oriented matroids in the case k=3.

We assume some familiarity with graph theory, matroid theory and oriented matroids; standard references are [28, 19, 4]. The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we review the definition of the chromatic number of an oriented matroid. In Section 3 we define generalized series-parallel oriented matroids and prove that they are 3-colorable. In Section 4 we prove that every orientation of a bicircular matroid is generalized series-parallel, and is therefore 3-colorable. We conclude with several open problems.

2. Nowhere-Zero Coflows in Oriented Matroids

A tension in a digraph D = (V, A) is a map $f^* : A \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_{a \in C^+} f^*(a) - \sum_{a \in C^-} f^*(a) = 0$$

for every weak cycle C in D, where C^+ and C^- are the forward and backward arcs in C. We say that f^* is a nowhere-zero-k tension (NZ-k tension) if f^* : $A \to \{\pm 1, \pm 2..., \pm (k-1)\}.$

Let $c: V \to \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ be a proper k-coloring of a connected graph G = (V, E). Let D = (V, A) be some orientation of G and define $f^*: A \to \{\pm 1, \ldots, \pm (k-1)\}$ by $f^*((u, v)) = c(v) - c(u)$. Then f^* is a NZ-k tension. The Kirchhoff Voltage Law implies every NZ-k tension arises from a proper k-coloring this way (see for example [23, 9]).

The notion of a NZ-k tension can be generalized to a regular matroid M (essentially done by Arrowsmith and Jaeger [3]) by referring to the integer combinations of rows in a totally unimodular matrix representation of M. If one attempts to further generalize NZ-k tensions to the class of oriented matroids one faces the difficulty that, for example, the four point line does not admit a nontrivial tension. The following definitions from [14, 15, 16] avoid this difficulty.

The coflow lattice of an oriented matroid \mathcal{O} on a finite set E, denoted as $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}^*}$, is the integer lattice generated by the signed characteristic vectors of the signed cocircuits \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{O} , where the signed characteristic vector of a signed cocircuit $D = (D^+, D^-)$ is defined by

$$\vec{D}(e) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \in D^+ \\ -1 & \text{if } e \in D^- \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

That is

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}^*} = \left\{ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_D \vec{D} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{|E|}.$$

We call any $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}^*}$ a coflow of \mathcal{O} . Such an x is a nowhere-zero-k coflow $(NZ-k \ coflow)$ if 0 < |x(e)| < k holds for $e \in E$. The chromatic number $\chi(\mathcal{O})$ is the minimum k such that \mathcal{O} has a NZ-k coflow. It is easy to see that $\chi(\mathcal{O})$ has a finite value if and only if \mathcal{O} has no loops. Since reorienting an element of e in the groundset of \mathcal{O} corresponds to negating x(e) in every coflow x, the chromatic number is reorientation invariant. It is shown [15] that $\chi(\mathcal{O})$ is a matroid invariant for uniform or corank 3 oriented matroids. It is unknown whether this is the case in general, but it is known [15] that the dimension of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}^*}$ in general is not a matroid invariant.

There are alternative ways to define the chromatic number of a matroid, but they appear less suited to generalizing Hadwiger's conjecture. The most recent one [17, 18], which we prefer to call the *independent set covering number*, does not coincide with the chromatic number of a graph in the graphic case. Welsh [27] defined the chromatic number of a matroid M to be the smallest nonnegative integer k such that P(M;k) > 0 holds for the chromatic polynomial $P(M;\lambda)$. This definition does not require orientability and coincides with ours for regular matroids. But then the chromatic number of the n-pont line U_2^n equals n (see [27] Page 264) and is not bounded for matroids of bounded rank. Another alternative is to define the chromatic number of an oriented matroid \mathcal{O}

to be $\lceil \chi_c(\mathcal{O}) \rceil$, where $\chi_c(\mathcal{O})$ is the circular chromatic number of \mathcal{O} , as defined by Hliněný et. al. [10, 6]. Although their definition coincides with ours when \mathcal{O} is regular [12], it is not a matroid invariant in general. For example, the (bicircular) matroid U_3^6 has 3 reorientation classes with chromatic number 2, and one with chromatic number 4. The following theorem further supports the suitability of our definition of $\chi(\mathcal{O})$ with regard to Hadwiger's conjecture.

THEOREM 2 ([16]). Let \mathcal{O} be a simple oriented matroid of rank $r \geq 3$. Then $\chi(\mathcal{O}) \leq r+1$. Moreover, $\chi(\mathcal{O}) = r+1$ if and only if \mathcal{O} is an orientation of $M(K_{r+1})$.

3. Generalized Series-parallel Oriented Matroids

Recall that a parallel extension of a matroid M is an extension by an element e' which is parallel to some element e of M while a series extension is a coextension by an element e' which is coparallel to some element. A matroid is called series-parallel [1] if it can be obtained from a one element matroid by a sequence of series and parallel extensions.

It is well known that series-parallel matroids are exactly the graphic matroids of series-parallel networks, which are the graphs without a K_4 -minor. The latter makes an inductive proof of Hadwiger's conjecture for the case k=3 almost trivial: if G arises by a parallel extension from G', any proper 3-coloring of G' remains a proper 3-coloring of G, whereas, if G arises by subdividing an edge e into e and e', we have a free color for the new vertex. Translating this proof into a proof for the resulting NZ-3 coflow in an orientation of G, the key point arises in the case G' = G/e (series extension), where the induction step relies on the existence of a $\{0,\pm 1\}$ -valued coflow in G, say G, whose support is $\{e,e'\}$. It has been shown [15, Theorem 3.8] that such a coflow, G, exists in the coflow lattice of any orientation of a uniform oriented matroid, and implies that this class of matroids is 3-colorable. This motivates the following definition.

DEFINITION 3. Let \mathcal{O} be an oriented matroid. We say that \mathcal{O} is generalized series-parallel (GSP) if every simple minor of \mathcal{O} has a $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -valued coflow which has exactly one or two nonzero entries.

By its definition, the class of GSP oriented matroids is closed under minors. Note that an orientation of a regular matroid is GSP if and only if it is an orientation of a series-parallel matroid.

Theorem 4. Let \mathcal{O} be a GSP oriented matroid on a finite set E without loops. Then \mathcal{O} has a NZ-3 coflow.

PROOF. We proceed by induction on |E|. If \mathcal{O} is not simple, then it has two parallel or antiparallel elements e and e'. Since $\mathcal{O} \setminus \{e'\}$ is generalized seriesparallel without loops, by induction it admits a NZ-3 coflow which extends to a NZ-3 coflow in \mathcal{O} because every cocircuit contains either both or none of e and e', and either always with the same or always with opposite sign. Thus, we

may assume \mathcal{O} is simple and that \mathcal{O} has a $\{0,\pm 1\}$ -valued coflow, say g^* , whose support is $\{e,e'\}$ (possibly with e=e'). By reorienting e' if necessary, we may assume that $g^*(e')=1$. Let $\mathcal{O}'=\mathcal{O}/e$. Then, \mathcal{O}' is a GSP oriented matroid without loops and, hence, there exists a NZ-3 coflow f'^* in \mathcal{O}' , which extends to a coflow f^* in \mathcal{O} which is 0 on e and has entries from $\{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$ elsewhere. If $f^*(e') \in \{-2,0,1\}$, then f^*+g^* is a NZ-3 coflow in \mathcal{O} . Otherwise we have $f^*(e') \in \{-1,2\}$, so f^*-g^* is a NZ-3 coflow in \mathcal{O} .

A practical way to prove that every orientation of a matroid from a certain minor-closed class is GSP is to show the existence of *positive colines* for that class.

DEFINITION 5. Let M be a matroid. A *copoint* of M is a flat of codimension 1, that is, a hyperplane. A *coline* is a flat of codimension 2. If L is a coline of M and $L \subseteq H$, a copoint, then we say H is a *copoint on* L. The copoint is *simple* (with respect to L) if $|H \setminus L| = 1$, otherwise it is *multiple*. A coline L is *positive* if there are more simple than multiple copoints on L.

LEMMA 6. If an orientable matroid M has a positive coline, then every orientation \mathcal{O} of M has a $\{0,\pm 1\}$ -valued coflow which has exactly two nonzero entries.

PROOF. Let L be a positive coline of M and \mathcal{O} be some orientation of M. By Proposition 4.1.17 of [4], the interval $[L, \hat{1}]$ in the big face lattice of \mathcal{O} has the structure of the big face lattice of an even cycle. Here, each antipodal pair of vertices of the even cycle corresponds to a copoint of L. Neighboring copoints in this cycle are conformal vectors (see 3.7 in [4]). Since L is positive, by the pidgeonhole principle there must exist two neighboring simple copoints D_1 and D_2 in the even cycle. Since both copoints are simple, $(D_1 \cup D_2) \setminus L = \{e_1, e_2\}$. Since they are conformal, $\vec{D}_1 - \vec{D}_2$ is a vector with exactly two non-zero entries which are +1 or -1, namely on e_1 and e_2 .

4. Bicircular Matroids

In this section we show that orientations of bicircular matroids are GSP and hence 3-colorable by Theorem 4. Bicircular matroids form a minor-closed subclass of the class of transversal matroids. Therefore, they are $M(K_4)$ -free, coordinatizable over the reals, and hence orientable.

DEFINITION 7. [20] Let G be a graph (loops and parallel edges allowed) with vertex set V and edge set E. The bicircular matroid of G is the matroid B(G) defined on E whose circuits are the subgraphs which are subdivisions of one of the graphs: (i) two loops on the same vertex, (ii) two loops joined by an edge, (iii) three edges joining the same pair of vertices. A matroid is bicircular if by deleting its loops it becomes isomorphic to B(G) for some graph G.

Note that the original definition of a bicircular matroid did not allow loops. The following is immediate from Definition 7.

Proposition 8. 1. Bicircular matroids are closed under taking minors.

2. For any graph G, the edge set of every forest in G defines a closed set, that is, a flat of B(G).

The following result suffices for our purpose.

Theorem 9. Every simple bicircular matroid M of rank ≥ 2 has a positive coline.

PROOF. If M has two coloops, then deleting both of them results in a coline of M which has exactly 2 simple copoints on it and no other copoints. If M has exactly one coloop, say e, then we must have $\operatorname{rank}(M) \geq 3$. Applying induction on |M|, we find that M/e has a positive coline which, together with e, gives a positive coline of M. Similarly, if a connected component N of M has a positive coline, then adding it to $M \setminus N$ results in a positive coline of M. Thus, we may assume M is connected, simple, of rank at least 3, and without coloops. Therefore, M = B(G) for some connected graph G with $|V(G)| = \operatorname{rank}(M) \geq 3$. We select G to have the fewest possible loops. Let T_1 be a spanning tree of G and let v be a leaf of T_1 . Let $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k\}$ be the set of non-loop edges of G which are incident with v, for some $k \geq 1$. Since M is simple, v is incident to at most one loop edge of G; we denote this loop edge by ℓ if it exists. If k = 1, then ℓ must exist, for otherwise e_1 is a coloop of M. But now we have that B(G) = B(G') where G' is the graph obtained from G by replacing ℓ with an edge parallel to e_1 . This contradicts the choice of G. So we may assume $k \geq 2$.

Exactly one edge in $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k\}$ belongs to T_1 , say $e_1 \in E(T_1)$. Let $F = T_1 - e_1$ and let $T_i = F + e_i$, for $1 \le i \le k$. Then T_i is a spanning tree of G and v is a leaf of T_i , for $1 \le i \le k$. By Proposition 8, the set L := E(F) is a flat of M. Since L is also independent in M and |L| = |V(G)| - 2, L is a coline of M. Also, for $1 \le i \le k$, the set $L \cup \{e_i\} = E(T_i)$ is a simple copoint on L. If ℓ exists then $L \cup \{\ell\}$ is also a simple copoint on L. Every remaining edge $e \in E(G) - L - \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k, \ell\}$ has both of its endpoints in the connected subgraph F - v, so the closure of $L \cup \{e\}$ in M is the copoint $E - \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k, \ell\}$. There are no other copoints on L, and at most one of them is multiple. Since $k \ge 2$, we have shown that L is a positive coline of M.

Theorem 10. Every orientation of a bicircular matroid is GSP.

PROOF. The statement trivially holds for bicircular matroids of rank ≤ 1 . The result now follows from Lemma 6, Theorem 9 and Proposition 8.

COROLLARY 11. Bicircular matroids are 3-colorable.

5. Open Problems and Conjectures

The definition of an oriented matroid being GSP does not seem to be related to duality.

QUESTION 12. Are GSP oriented matroids closed under duality?

Since $M(K_4)$ is not series-parallel, every GSP oriented matroid is $M(K_4)$ -free. Probably it would be too much to hope for the other inclusion:

QUESTION 13. Does there exist an $M(K_4)$ -free oriented matroid which is not GSP?

The previous question begs the following, more fundamental one.

QUESTION 14. Does there exist an $M(K_4)$ -free matroid which is not orientable?

Not every $M(K_4)$ -free matroid has a positive coline, an example being P_7 . We checked with Lukas Finschi's database [7] that P_7 has a unique reorientation class. Although there is no positive coline, this class still is GSP. Every bicircular matroid is a gammoid. Since P_7 is not a gammoid, the following might be a possible extension of Theorem 10 to the class of gammoids, which includes the class of transversal matroids.

Conjecture 15. Every simple gammoid of rank at least two has a positive coline.

Acknowledgement

The term *positive coline* is due to Stephanie Adolph.

References

- [1] Aigner, M.. Combinatorial theory. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Berlin: Springer, 1979.
- [2] Appel, K.I., Haken, W.. Every planar map is four colorable. Bull Amer Math Soc 1976;82(5):711–712.
- [3] Arrowsmith, D., Jaeger, F.. On the enumeration of chains in regular chain-groups. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 1982;32(1):75–89.
- [4] Björner, A., Vergnas, M.L., Sturmfels, B., White, N., Ziegler, G.M.. Oriented Matroids. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [5] Bollobás, B., Catlin, P., Erdős, P., Hadwiger's conjecture is true for almost every graph. European Journal of Combinatorics 1980;1(3):195 – 199
- [6] Chávez-Lomelí, L., Goddyn, L., Hochstättler, W.. Balancing Covectors. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 2011;25(3):1315–1318.
- [7] Finschi, L.. Catalog of oriented matroids. 2001. Available at http://www.om.math.ethz.ch/.

- [8] Geelen, J.. Matroids with no $M(K_4)$ -minor. 2013 (accessed April 30, 2015). URL: http://matroidunion.org/?p=139.
- [9] Ghouila-Houri, A.. Sur l'existence d'un flot ou d'une tension prenant ses valeurs dans un groupe abélien. C R Acad Sci Paris 1960;250:3931–3933.
- [10] Goddyn, L., Hliněný, P., Hochstättler, W.. Balanced signings and the chromatic number of oriented matroids. Comb Probab Comput 2006;15(4):523–539.
- [11] Goddyn, L., Hochstättler, W.. Nowhere-zero flows in regular matroids and hadwiger's conjecture. Seminarberichte der Mathematik FernUniversität in Hagen 2015;87:97 102.
- [12] Goddyn, L.A., Tarsi, M., Zhang, C.Q.. On (k, d)-colorings and fractional nowhere-zero flows. Journal of Graph Theory 1998;28(3):155–161.
- [13] Hadwiger, H.. Über eine Klassifikation der Streckenkomplexe. Vierteljahresschrift der Naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Zürich 1943;88:133–142.
- [14] Hochstättler, W., Nešetřil, J.. Antisymmetric flows in matroids. Eur J Comb 2006;27(7):1129–1134.
- [15] Hochstättler, W., Nickel, R.. The flow lattice of oriented matroids. Contributions to Discrete Mathematics 2007;2(1):68–86.
- [16] Hochstättler, W., Nickel, R.. On the chromatic number of an oriented matroid. J Comb Theory, Ser B 2008;98(4):698–706.
- [17] Királi, T., Pap, J.. On the list coloring of two matroids. EGRES Quick-Proof No. 2010-01; 2010 (accessed November 22, 2015). Available at http://lemon.cs.elte.hu/egres/open/List_colouring_of_two_matroids.
- [18] Lasoń, M.. Indicated coloring of matroids. Discrete Applied Mathematics 2014;179(2014):241–243.
- [19] Oxley, J.G.. Matroid theory. New York: The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, 1992.
- [20] Simões Pereira, J.. On subgraphs as matroid cells. Mathematische Zeitschrift 1972;127(4):315–322.
- [21] Robertson, N., Sanders, D., Seymour, P., Thomas, R.. The Four-Colour theorem. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 1997;70(1):2–44.
- [22] Robertson, N., Seymour, P., Thomas, R.. Hadwiger's conjecture for K_6 -free graphs. Combinatorica 1993;13:279–361.
- [23] Tutte, W.. A contribution to the theory of chromatic polynomials. Canad J Math 1954;6:80–91.

- [24] Tutte, W.. On the algebraic theory of graph colorings. Journal of Combinatorial Theory 1966;1(1):15 50.
- [25] Tutte, W.. A geometrical version of the four color problem. In: Bose, R.C., Dowling, T.A., editors. Combinatorial Math. and Its Applications. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press; 1967. p. 553 560.
- [26] Wagner, K.. Über eine Eigenschaft der ebenen Komplexe. Mathematische Annalen 1937;114(1):570–590.
- [27] Welsh, D.. Matroid theory. L.M.S. monographs. Academic Press, 1976.
- [28] West, D.B.. Introduction to graph theory. Prentice Hall, 2001.